

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,603 11/24/2003		11/24/2003	Ananda M. Chakrabarty	51282-00013 6398		
23767	7590	08/17/2006	EXAMINER			
		ELLIS & ROUVE	YAG	YAO, LEI		
1735 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW, SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1642	·	

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/720,603		CHAKRABARTY ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Lei Yao, Ph.D.	1	1642					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover	sheet with the cor	rrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	4 November 2003							
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
′—	/ <b>-</b>			ecution as to the	merits is				
٠,٣	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicati	ion.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
'=	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requireme	ent.						
	ion Papers	•							
_	•	:							
	The specification is objected to by the Exam								
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
11)[_]	The path or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the a	attached Office A	ction or form PT	O-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d	d) or (f).					
,	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been receiv	ved.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	\								
Attachmen	• •								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nterview Summary (Pi						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		aper No(s)/Mail Date. lotice of Informal Pate		)-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:									

Art Unit: 1642

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14 and 20-21, drawn to a method of treating a condition related to resistance to cell death comprising administering an effective amount of a cupredoxin or a variant or derivative thereof, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- II. Claims 15-19, drawn to a method of treating a condition related to resistance to cell death comprising administering an effective amount of a cytochrome C<sub>551</sub> or a variant or derivative thereof, classified in class 514, subclass 2.

Inventions are distinct each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Invention I and II are unrelated because each invention require different treatment materials and have different modes of operation. Invention I is method for treating a condition related to cell death comprising administering a cupredoxin to a patient, while invention II is a method of treating same condition comprising administering a cytochromeC<sub>551</sub>. Because two methods use different material to treat patients the methods would have different mode of operation.

Searching the inventions of group I and II together would impose a serious search burden.

Searching the methods of treating a patient with cupredoxin is used only to determine the patentability of Group I. Searching the methods of treating a patient with Cytochrome C<sub>551</sub> is used only to determine the patentability Group II.

Both methods have acquired separate status in the art and the search required for each group is not required for the other group because each group requires a different non-patent literature search due to each group comprising different products and/or method steps, Therefore, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1642

## **Election of Species**

Page 3

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a. A cupredoxin listed in claim 3 or 19.
- A condition listed in claim 17 or 20.

In the event that applicant elects invention I or II, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from a) and a single disclosed species from b) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See M.P.E.P. 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lei Yao, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-3112. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-6.00pm Monday-Thursday.

Any inquiry of a general nature, matching or file papers or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Kim Downing for Art Unit 1642 whose telephone number is 571-272-0521

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,603

Art Unit: 1642

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Lei Yao, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1642

LY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4